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## Do you know anyone who ...

- Wants to reduce the first costs of construction by $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 2,000$ - and $\pm 40$ hours of labor - per dwelling?
- Would like to improve customer satisfaction with their hot water system?
- Would like to "right-size" water supply systems based on current flow rates and modern piping materials and plumbing fixtures and appliances?
- Wondered why the "well-designed" plumbing system didn't work as expected?
- Actually measured the performance of a plumbing system? And then compared what they measured to the design?
- Wondered why pipe sizes haven't gotten smaller even though flow rates have been dropping for the past 50 years?
- Wants to increase their profit by $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 2,000$ per dwelling?


# Part of today's session is based on: 

## CEC Grant PIR-16-020

Code Changes and Implications of Residential Low Flow Hot Water Fixtures

CEC Project Manager: Amir Ehyai

## Project Team:

Gary Klein
Jim Lutz
Yanda Zhang
John Koeller

## Legal Notice

Parts of this presentation were prepared as a result of work sponsored by the California Energy Commission. It does not necessarily represent the views of the Energy Commission, its employees, or the State of California. The Commission, the State of California, its employees, contractors, and subcontractors make no warranty, express or implied, and assume no legal liability for the information in this document; nor does any party represent that the use of this information will not infringe upon privately owned rights. This presentation has not been approved or disapproved by the Commission nor has the Commission passed upon the accuracy of the information in this presentation.

## Agreement Goals

- Develop code change recommendations based on comprehensive assessment of technical, economic, and market feasibility improvement strategies that can significantly increase hot water distribution system efficiency in new construction and existing buildings;
- Characterize the impact of low flow fixtures on distribution system performance and determine the theoretical lowest flow possible for hot water fixtures.


## Why This Research is Important?

- Plumbing fixture flow rates, flush volumes and appliance fill volumes have been reduced every decade since the 1950s.
- Pipe sizing rules have not been revisited since written down in the 1940s.
- The median square footage of a house is roughly 1.5 times larger than it was in 1970.
- Result:
- It takes much longer than it used to for hot water to arrive.
- More energy is lost when the pipes cool down.
- Dissatisfied occupants
- Potentially unsafe conditions in the piping network


## Water Consumption 1980-2017

| Water-using Fixture or Appliance | 1980s Water Use (typical) | 1990 <br> Requirement (maximum) | EPAct 1992 <br> Requirement (maximum) | 2009 Baseline <br> Plumbing Code (maximum) | "Green Code" Maximums (2017 CALGreen) | \% Reduction in avg water use since 1980s |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential Bathroom Lavatory Faucet | $3.5+\mathrm{gpm}$ | 2.5 gpm | 2.2 gpm | 2.2 gpm | 1.2 gpm | 66\% |
| Showerhead | $3.5+\mathrm{gpm}$ | 3.5 gpm | 2.5 gpm | 2.5 gpm | 1.8 gpm | 49\% |
| Residential ("private") <br> Toilet | $5.0+\mathrm{gpf}$ | 3.5 gpf | 1.6 gpf | 1.6 gpf | 1.28 gpf | 74\% |
| Commercial ("public") Toilet | 5.0+ gpf | 3.5 gpf | 1.6 gpf | 1.6 gpf | 1.28 gpf | 74\% |
| Urinal | $\begin{gathered} 1.5 \text { to } 3.0+ \\ \mathrm{gpf} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \text { to } 3.0+ \\ & \mathrm{gpf} \end{aligned}$ | 1.0 gpf | 1.0 gpf | 0.125 gpf | 96\% |
| Commercial Lavatory Faucet | $3.5+\mathrm{gpm}$ | 2.5 gpm | 2.2 gpm | 0.5 gpm | 0.5 gpm | 86\% |
| Food Service Pre-Rinse Spray Valve | 5.0+gpm | No requirement | $\begin{gathered} 1.6 \mathrm{gpm} \\ (E P A c t \text { 2005) } \end{gathered}$ | No requirement | 1.3 gpm | 74\% |
| Residential Clothes Washing Machine | 51 gallons per load | No requirement | 26 gallons per load (2012 std) | No requirement | 12.6 gallons per load (Energy Star) | 75\% |
| Residential Dishwasher | 14 gallons per cycle | No requirement | 6.5 gallons per cycle (2012 std) | No requirement | 3.5 gallons per cycle (Energy Star) | 75\% |

## From 1980 to 2017: Reductions range from 49 to 96\%

Source: The Drainline Transport of Solid Waste in Buildings, PERC 1 Report - J. Koeller, P. DeMarco

## Traditional Daily Hot Water Load

Table RE-1 Hourly Water Heating Schedules
2013 Residential Alternative Calculation Method Reference Manual


## Actual Daily Hot Water Load



## Types of Draws

| End Use | Hot/Cold Mix | Miscellaneous |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| showers <br> (clearing) | $100 \%$ hot | shower/tub only |
| showers (use) | $105^{\circ}$ F mixed |  |
| faucets | all draws $50 \%$ | clearing draws on long draws |
| clothes washers | draws 22\% hot | multiple draws per cycle |
| dishwashers | $100 \%$ | multiple draws per cycle <br> benefits of plumbing to cold water? |
| baths | $105^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ mixed |  |

These are modeling assumptions built into CBECC-Res. The research team used these assumptions and the CBECC-Res draw patterns for compatibility and ease of explanation.

## CBECC-Res Hot Water Draw Patterns

Daily Draw Patterns
365 days for 3 bedroom house

ndays

- 5
- 10
- 15
- 20
npeople
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6


## Daily Draw Patterns Considered for Analysis

| Day | People | Day of <br> Week | Daily Volume of | Number of Daily Draws |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Water (gal) | Shower | Faucet | CW | DW |
| Bath |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | Wed | 25.53 | 28 | 1 | 23 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 2 | Sat | 47.57 | 94 | 0 | 81 | 6 | 6 | 1 |
| 3 | 3 | Thu | 95.91 | 106 | 4 | 87 | 10 | 4 | 1 |
| 4 | 3 | Thu | 52.29 | 77 | 2 | 70 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 4 | Mon | 75.05 | 31 | 2 | 17 | 12 | 0 | 0 |

Percent Faucets

82\% 86\% 82\% 91\% 55\%

CW = Clothes Washer, DW = Dishwasher,

Number of Events by Volume
Histogram of Flow Rates

from reference day, 78 draws

## Volume Delivered by Flow Rate

Histogram of Flow Rates

from reference day, 78 draws

## Improvement Strategies



## Layout Methods



## Low-Flow and High-Efficiency Fixtures

The current Federally required maximum flow rates are designated as "low-flow", whereas the lower volumes adopted by California and others are designated separately as "high-efficiency".

| Hot water-using plumbing <br> fixtures and fixture fittings | Maximum water consumption |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Federal Standard | 2016 CaIGreen, <br> Part 11 (mandatory) | Title 20, Article 4, Sections <br> $\mathbf{1 6 0 5 . 1 ~ \& ~ 1 6 0 5 . 3 ~}$ |
| Lavatory faucet-private | 2.2 gpm | 1.2 gpm | 1.2 gpm |
| Lavatory faucet-public | 2.2 gpm | 0.5 gpm | 0.5 gpm |
| Metering faucet-residential | 0.25 gpc | 0.25 gpc | 0.25 gpc |
|  |  |  |  |
| Kitchen faucet | 2.2 gpm | 1.8 gpm | 1.8 gpm |
| Showerheads | 2.5 gpm | 2.0 gpm | 1.8 gpm |

# Analysis of Architectural Compactness 

## New Single-Family Homes Completed in 2017

Median Home Size in Western United States
$-2,398 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$
Average Home Size in Western United States
$-2,548 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$
$6 \%$ under 1,400
15\% 1,400 to 1,799
29\% 1,800 to 2,399
25\% 2,400 to 2,999
17\% 3,000 to ,3,999
8\% 4,000 or more
(Source: United States Census Bureau)

## New Multi-Family Units Completed in 2017

Median Unit Size in Western United States $-1,045 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$

Average Unit Size in Western United States
-1,088 sq ft
42\% under 1,000
31\% 1,000 to 1,199
15\% 1,200 to 1,399
9\% 1,400 to 1,799
4\% 1,800 or more
(Source: United States Census Bureau)

## = Wet Room Rectangle

Ratio in Percent: Hot Water System Rectangle/Floor Area x 100\%

Use the dimensions available on the floor plan when available. Otherwise, determine the areas based on the formula below. The dimensions come from the drawing program.


## = Hot Water System Rectangle

Ratio in Percent: Hot Water System Rectangle/Floor Area x 100\%

Use the dimensions available on the floor plan when available. Otherwise, determine the areas based on the formula below. The dimensions come from the drawing program.


## Example:

## 1 Story <br> $3 \mathrm{Br} / 2 \mathrm{Ba}$ <br> 1,697 sq ft <br> Fresno, CA <br> ~67\% (1137 sq ft)



# Relationship between the Hot Water System and the Floor Area The Logical Worst Case 

| Number of Stories | Hot Water System/ <br> Floor Area (\%) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1-story | $100 \%$ |
| 2-story | $50 \%$ |
| 3-story | $33.3 \%$ |
| 4-story | $25 \%$ |
| 5-story | $20 \%$ |

Basements count as stories if they contain wet rooms.

## 1-Story Floor Plans

- The wet room rectangle has the same area as the hot water system rectangle for all of the 1-story homes in this sample.


## 1 Story <br> $3 \mathrm{Br} / 2 \mathrm{Ba}$ 1,697 sq ft Fresno, CA <br> ~67\% <br> (1,137 sq ft)



## 1 Story 3Br/2.5Ba 2,466 sq ft Roseville, CA <br> ~75\% <br> ( $1,835 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ )

# 1 Story <br> 4Br/3Ba <br> 3,073 sq ft Chico, CA <br> ~80\% <br> (2,459 sq ft) 



## 1 Story <br> 4Br/2Ba <br> 2,010 sq ft <br> Fresno, CA <br> ~81\% <br> (1,628 sq ft)



$$
\begin{gathered}
1 \text { Story } \\
2 \mathrm{Br} / 2 \mathrm{Ba} \\
1,224 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft} \\
\text { Chico, CA } \\
\\
\sim 88 \% \\
(1,077 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft})
\end{gathered}
$$



## 1 Story 4Br/3.5Ba <br> 2,952 sq ft Morgan Hill, CA

~105\%<br>(3,100 sq ft)



$$
\begin{gathered}
1 \text { Story } \\
4 \mathrm{Br} / 4.5 \mathrm{Ba} \\
4,820 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft} \\
\text { La Quinta, CA } \\
\sim 110 \% \\
(5,302 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft})
\end{gathered}
$$



## 1 Story $5 \mathrm{Br} / 5.5 \mathrm{Ba}$ 4,467 sq ft San Diego, CA <br> ~155\% (6,924 sq ft)



## Best 1-Story So Far...

## In the beginning:

1 Story<br>$3 \mathrm{Br} / 2 \mathrm{Ba}$<br>$1,619 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$<br>Stockton, CA<br>~79\%<br>(1,279 sq ft)



## $1^{\text {st }}$ iteration v1:

## 1 Story $3 \mathrm{Br} / 2 \mathrm{Ba}$ $1,223 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ Stockton, CA ~15\% ( 183 sq ft )

(when bounding the hot water plumbing fixtures and appliances)


## $1^{\text {st }}$ iteration v2:

## 1 Story <br> $3 \mathrm{Br} / 2 \mathrm{Ba}$ $1,223 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ <br> Stockton, CA

~4\%
(49 sq ft)
(when bounding the plumbing walls)


## $2^{\text {nd }}$ iteration:

## 1 Story <br> $3 \mathrm{Br} / 2 \mathrm{Ba}$ $1,223 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ <br> Stockton, CA

$\sim 2.5 \%$
(30 sq ft)
(when bounding the plumbing walls)


## $3^{\text {rd }}$ iteration:

1 Story $3 \mathrm{Br} / 2 \mathrm{Ba}$ $1,245 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ Stockton, CA

## ~0.8\% <br> (< 10 sq ft )

(1 short plumbing wall)


## 2-Story Floor Plans

The wet room rectangle has the same area as the hot water system rectangle for all of the 2-story homes in this sample.

## 2 Story, $4 \mathrm{Br} / 3 \mathrm{Ba}, 2,625 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ Bakersfield, CA ~37\% (962 sq ft)



## 2 Story, $3 \mathrm{Br} / 2.5 \mathrm{Ba}, 1,837 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ Salinas, CA ~48\% (882 sq ft)



## 2 Story, $5 \mathrm{Br} / 4.5 \mathrm{Ba}, 4,003 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ Rocklin, CA ~51\% (2,042 sq ft)



## 2 Story, $5 \mathrm{Br} / 5.5 \mathrm{Ba}, 3,983 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$

 Irvine, CA $\sim 58 \%$ ( $2,310 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ )

## 2 Story, $5 \mathrm{Br} / 4.5 \mathrm{Ba}, 4,301 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$

 Rancho Cucamonga, CA ~62\% (2,667 sq ft)

## 2 Story, 5 BR/4.5 Ba, 3,493 sq ft Manteca, CA ~63\% (3,493 sq ft)




## 2 Story, $5 \mathrm{Br} / 5.5 \mathrm{Ba}, 4,269 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ La Verne, CA ~72\% (3,074 sq ft)



## Best 2-Story So Far...

## 2 Story, 4Br / 3Ba, 2,709 sq ft Gaithersburg, MD ~12\% (325 sq ft)



## Multi-Family Unit Floor Plans

The wet room rectangle has the same area as the hot water system rectangle for all of the 2-story homes in this sample.

## 1 Story <br> 1Br/1Ba <br> 720 sq ft <br> Chula Vista, CA

~29\%
(209 sq ft)



## 2 Story, $2 \mathrm{Br} / 2.5 \mathrm{Ba}, 1275 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ Ventura, CA ~34\% (434 sq ft)



1 Story<br>$1 \mathrm{Br} / 1 \mathrm{Ba}$<br>665 sq ft<br>Newark, CA<br>~50\%<br>(333 sq ft)



## 1 Story, $3 \mathrm{Br} / 2 \mathrm{Ba}, 1136$ sq ft Bakersfield, CA ~62\% (699 sq ft)



## 1 Story, 4Br/2Ba, 1217 sq ft Banning, CA ~67\% (815 sq ft)



## 1 Story <br> $1 \mathrm{Br} / 1 \mathrm{Ba}$ <br> 670 sq ft <br> San Jose, CA

~90\%
(603 sq ft)


## 1 Story <br> 2Br/2Ba <br> 1232 sq ft <br> San Diego, CA

~99\%
(1220 sq ft)


## 1 Story, 3Br/2Ba, 1360 sq ft

 Fresno, CA ~115\% (1564 sq ft)

# Locating water heaters nearer to the fixtures... 

$$
\begin{gathered}
1 \text { Story } \\
2 \mathrm{Br} / 2 \mathrm{Ba} \\
1,224 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft} \\
\text { Chico, CA } \\
\\
\sim 88 \% \\
(1,077 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft})
\end{gathered}
$$



## 1 Story <br> $2 \mathrm{Br} / 2 \mathrm{Ba}$ <br> 1,224 sq ft <br> Chico, CA <br> ~58\% <br> (710 sq ft)



## 1 Story 4Br/3.5Ba <br> 2,952 sq ft Morgan Hill, CA

~105\%<br>(3,100 sq ft)



## 1 Story 4Br/3.5Ba <br> 2,952 sq ft Morgan Hill, CA

~43\%
(1,269 sq ft)


$$
\begin{gathered}
1 \text { Story } \\
4 \mathrm{Br} / 4.5 \mathrm{Ba} \\
4,820 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft} \\
\text { La Quinta, CA } \\
\sim 110 \% \\
(5,302 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft})
\end{gathered}
$$




## 1 Story $4 \mathrm{Br} / 4.5 \mathrm{Ba}$ $4,820 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ La Quinta, CA <br> ~44\% <br> (2,120 sq ft)



## Scatter Plot of the Relationship between the Hot Water System and the Floor Area

## Performance Model Development

- Transient hot water delivery process
- Interaction between hot water draws
- Complicated distribution piping configurations
- In-depth understandings of distribution performance


## A Typical Hot Water Use Event



## Numerical Solution for Pipe Warm-up Process

## Derivation.

Let $T_{i j}$ denote the temperature of the water in pipe section $i$ at time $j$, and $P_{i j}$ denote the temperature of pipe section $i$ at time $j$. Let $\Delta x$ denote the length of one section of pipe, and $\Delta t$ denote the difference between time $j$ and $j+1$.
Define:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha=\frac{h_{1} S_{1}}{v A \rho_{w} C_{w}}=\frac{h_{1} \cdot 2 \pi r_{1} \cdot \Delta x}{v \cdot \pi r_{1}^{2} \cdot \rho_{w} C_{w}}=\frac{\pi r_{1}}{\pi r_{1}} \cdot \frac{2 h_{1}}{\rho_{w} C_{w} r_{1}} \cdot \frac{\Delta x}{v}=\frac{2 h_{1} \Delta t}{\rho_{w} C_{w} r_{1}} \\
\beta=\frac{h_{1} S_{1} \Delta t}{M_{p} C_{p}}=\frac{h_{1} \cdot 2 \pi r_{1} \cdot \Delta x \Delta t}{\rho_{p} \cdot \pi\left(r_{2}^{2}-r_{1}^{2}\right) \cdot \Delta x \cdot C_{p}}=\frac{\pi \Delta x}{\pi \Delta x} \cdot \frac{2 h_{1} r_{1} \Delta t}{\rho_{p} C_{p}\left(r_{2}^{2}-r_{1}^{2}\right)}=\frac{2 h_{1} r_{1} \Delta t}{\rho_{p} C_{p} \cdot\left(r_{2}^{2}-r_{1}^{2}\right)} \\
\gamma=\frac{h_{2} S_{2} \Delta t}{M_{p} C_{p}}=\frac{h_{2} \cdot 2 \pi r_{2} \cdot \Delta x \Delta t}{\rho_{p} \cdot \pi\left(r_{2}^{2}-r_{1}^{2}\right) \cdot \Delta x \cdot C_{p}}=\frac{\pi \Delta x}{\pi \Delta x} \cdot \frac{2 h_{2} r_{2} \Delta t}{\rho_{p} C_{p}\left(r_{2}^{2}-r_{1}^{2}\right)}=\frac{2 h_{2} r_{2} \Delta t}{\rho_{p} C_{p} \cdot\left(r_{2}^{2}-r_{1}^{2}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Energy of Water:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\rho_{w} A C_{w} \cdot \Delta x \cdot\left(T_{i, j+1}-T_{i j}\right)}{\Delta t} \\
& \quad=\frac{1-k}{2} v A \rho_{w} C_{w} T_{i-2, j}+k v A \rho_{w} C_{w} T_{i-1, j}-\frac{1+k}{2} v A \rho_{w} C T_{i j} \\
& \quad-h_{1} S_{1}\left(T_{i-1, j}-\frac{P_{i-1, j}+P_{i j}}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



We choose $\Delta t$ such that $\Delta x=v \cdot \Delta t$, which simplifies our equation to

$$
\begin{aligned}
v A \rho_{w} C_{w}\left(T_{i, j+1}\right. & \left.-T_{i j}\right) \\
& =\frac{1-k}{2} v A \rho_{w} C_{w} T_{i-2, j}+k v A \rho_{w} C_{w} T_{i-1, j}-\frac{1+k}{2} v A \rho_{w} C T_{i j} \\
& -h_{1} S_{1}\left(T_{i-1, j}-\frac{P_{i-1, j}+P_{i j}}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$T_{i, j+1}=\frac{1-k}{2} T_{i-2, j}+k T_{i-1, j}-\frac{1+k}{2} T_{i j}+T_{i j}-\frac{h_{1} S_{1}}{v A \rho_{w} C_{w}} T_{i-1, j}+\frac{h_{1} S_{1}}{2 v A \rho_{w} C_{w}} P_{i-1, j}+\frac{h_{1} S_{1}}{2 v A \rho_{w} C_{w}} F$

- Too complicated
- Not accurate, not easy to be calibrated - Not easy to be applied to complicated distribution networks


## Empirical Approach - Based on Test Data

- Inherently validated
- Normalized temperature profile
- Normalized temperature: \% Temp Rise $=($ Temp-CWT $) /(H W T-C W T)$
- Normalized flow/time:

FV/PV = Flow volume/pipe volume



## Normalized Pipe Warm-up Curve



## Enhanced Warm-up Curve - Energy Balance



## Warm-up Curve for Multiple Pipe \& Initial Temperature



## Cool-down Process Model



## Modeling Method for Distribution System Designs



Trunk and Branch
(reference design)

```
CEC T24
Prototype
#1
(2.5 bath)
```


## Model for Trunk and Branch (3 branches)

Pipe sections

- Length
- Diameter
- Insulation

Connection nodes

- Fixture



## Title 24 Prototype Floor Plan



## Distributed Core Case (Reference)



Wet Room Rectangle:
19.5 feet X 49 feet 956 square feet
45.5\% of floor area

Hot Water System Rectangle 32.5 feet $X 49$ feet 1592 square feet $76 \%$ of floor area

## Compact Core Case



Wet Room Rectangle:
13 feet $X 25$ feet
325 square feet
$15.5 \%$ of floor area Hot Water System Rectangle

13 feet $X 25$ feet
325 square feet
$15.5 \%$ of floor area

## Rating Performance (it's not just the energy)

- Which metrics to use?
- Energy
- Energy used
- Energy delivered but wasted
- Energy not delivered
- loads not met
- compared to water heater set-point temperature
- temperature delivered
- wait time?
- what temperature?
- water wasted


## Distributed Wet Rooms - One Day

## Daily Performance, Base Case - Energy

| Fixture ID | HW <br> Supply <br> Energy <br> (Btu) | To fixture <br> - used | To fixture <br> - wasted | Lost to <br> Ambient - <br> during use | Stored in <br> Pipe and <br> Insulation | Energy <br> Efficiency <br> $\%$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MB_SH | 3,551 | 3,280 | 24 | 69 | 179 | $92 \%$ |
| MB_SK1 | 3,036 | 430 | 442 | 77 | 2,088 | $14 \%$ |
| MB_SK2 | 202 | 56 | - | 12 | 134 | $28 \%$ |
| MB_TB | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| K_SK | 2,736 | 1,484 | 244 | 70 | 938 | $54 \%$ |
| K_DW | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| LN_WA | 1,272 | 920 | - | 52 | 300 | $72 \%$ |
| B2_SH | 2,383 | 2,094 | 24 | 23 | 241 | $88 \%$ |
| B2_SK1 | 937 | 515 | 24 | 25 | 373 | $55 \%$ |
| B2_SK2 | 817 | 217 | 128 | 6 | 466 | $27 \%$ |
| B2_TB | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| B3_SK | 165 | 93 | - | 2 | 71 | $56 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 5 , 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 0 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 7 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ |

## Daily Performance, Base Case - Water

|  | Water <br> Volume <br> Fixpture ID <br> (Gallon) | Water <br> Volume <br> Used <br> (Gallon) | Water <br> Volume <br> Wasted <br> (Gallon) | Water <br> Efficiency \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MB_SH | 7.8 | 7.6 | 0.2 | $98 \%$ |
| MB_SK1 | 6.6 | 2.1 | 4.5 | $32 \%$ |
| MB_SK2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | - | $100 \%$ |
| MB_TB | - | - | - |  |
| K_SK | 6.0 | 4.5 | 1.5 | $75 \%$ |
| K_DW | - | - | - |  |
| LN_WA | 2.8 | 2.8 | - | $100 \%$ |
| B2_SH | 5.2 | 4.8 | 0.4 | $92 \%$ |
| B2_SK1 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 0.2 | $90 \%$ |
| B2_SK2 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 1.1 | $39 \%$ |
| B2_TB | - | - | - |  |
| B3_SK | 0.4 | 0.4 | - | $100 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 3 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 \%}$ |

## Daily Performance, Base Case - Time

| Fixture ID | Structural <br> Waiting <br> (Sec) | Behavior <br> Waiting <br> (Sec) | Total <br> Waiting <br> (Sec) | Use <br> Duration <br> (Sec) | Time <br> Efficiency <br> $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MB_SH | 7.8 | - | 7.8 | 390.0 | $98 \%$ |
| MB_SK1 | 224.8 | - | 224.8 | 310.1 | $58 \%$ |
| MB_SK2 | - | - | - | 100.0 | $100 \%$ |
| MB_TB | - | - | - | - |  |
| K_SK | 49.0 | - | 49.0 | 600.1 | $92 \%$ |
| K_DW | - | - | - | - |  |
| LN_WA | - | - | - | 600.0 | $100 \%$ |
| B2_SH | 8.4 | - | 8.4 | 250.0 | $97 \%$ |
| B2_SK1 | 10.1 | - | 10.1 | 310.1 | $97 \%$ |
| B2_SK2 | 54.4 | - | 54.4 | 100.1 | $65 \%$ |
| B2_TB | - | - | - | - |  |
| B3_SK | - | - | - | 40.0 | $100 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 5 4 . 6}$ | - | $\mathbf{3 5 4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 0 0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 \%}$ |

## Daily Performance, Base Case - Service

| Fixture ID | Theoretical <br> HW <br> demand <br> (Btu) | HW <br> Energy To <br> fixture- <br> used | Load not <br> met (Btu) | Load not <br> met (\%) |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MB_SH | 3,476 | 3,280 | 196 | $6 \%$ |
| MB_SK1 | 981 | 430 | 551 | $56 \%$ |
| MB_SK2 | 202 | 56 | 146 | $72 \%$ |
| MB_TB | - | - | - |  |
| K_SK | 2,065 | 1,484 | 580 | $28 \%$ |
| K_DW | - | - | - |  |
| LN_WA | 1,272 | 920 | 352 | $28 \%$ |
| B2_SH | 2,203 | 2,094 | 109 | $5 \%$ |
| B2_SK1 | 845 | 515 | 330 | $39 \%$ |
| B2_SK2 | 319 | 217 | 103 | $32 \%$ |
| B2_TB | - | - | - |  |
| B3_SK | 165 | 93 | 73 | $44 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 , 5 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 0 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ |



## Distributed Wet-room Cases

## Compact Wet-room Cases

| WH <br> Location | Trunk and Branch | Mini-Manifold | Central Manifold | One-Zone (Opt. ReCirc) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NW Garage |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SE } \\ \text { Laundry } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |

## Cost Summary-Baseline Case

| Item | Materials |  | Labor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Cost | Rate | Hours | Total |
| Supply Piping-PEX | 297 | \$180.91 | \$43.45 | 15.0 | \$653.49 |
| Supply Fittings-PEX | 28 | \$162.45 | \$43.45 | 15.5 | \$672.17 |
| Supply Joints-PEX | 60 | \$25.00 | \$43.45 | 0.0 | \$0.00 |
| Supply Hangers-PEX | 137 | \$16.14 | \$43.45 | 35.6 | \$1,547.69 |
| Drain Piping-ABS | 182 | \$201.34 | \$43.45 | 19.5 | \$849.01 |
| Drain Fittings-ABS | 40 | \$120.05 | \$43.45 | 17.4 | \$756.46 |
| Drain Excavation | 60 | \$0.00 | \$43.45 | 0.0 | \$0.00 |
| Steel Pipe | 132 | \$146.08 | \$43.45 | 7.9 | \$341.95 |
| Steel Fittings | 14 | \$31.16 | \$43.45 | 8.9 | \$385.84 |
| Miscellaneous Joints | 122 | \$27.08 | \$43.45 | 0.0 | \$0.00 |
| Pipe Insulation | 111 | \$135.70 | \$43.45 | 3.2 | \$139.00 |
| Subtotal |  | \$1,046 |  | 123 | \$5,346 |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$6,392 |
| Sales Tax |  |  |  |  | \$55 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | \$6,447 |
| Overhead |  |  | 10\% |  | \$644.65 |
| Profit |  |  | 10\% |  | \$709 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | \$7,800 |

## Cost Summary-Compact Core

| Item | Materials |  | Labor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Quantity | Cost | Rate | Hours | Total |
| Supply Piping-PEX | 170 | \$118.04 | \$43.45 | 8.8 | \$381.93 |
| Supply Fittings-PEX | 27 | \$171.55 | \$43.45 | 15.0 | \$652.18 |
| Supply Joints-PEX | 57 | \$25.00 | \$43.45 | 0.0 | \$0.00 |
| Supply Hangers-PEX | 46 | \$5.36 | \$43.45 | 12.0 | \$519.66 |
| Drain Piping-ABS | 150 | \$147.11 | \$43.45 | 15.1 | \$656.10 |
| Drain Fittings-ABS | 40 | \$119.42 | \$43.45 | 17.4 | \$756.46 |
| Drain Excavation | 60 | \$0.00 | \$43.45 | 0.0 | \$0.00 |
| Steel Pipe | 118 | \$152.15 | \$43.45 | 7.4 | \$321.53 |
| Steel Fittings | 16 | \$34.56 | \$43.45 | 8.9 | \$385.84 |
| Miscellaneous Joints | 128 | \$28.13 | \$43.45 | 0.0 | \$0.00 |
| Pipe Insulation | 56 | \$64.30 | \$43.45 | 1.6 | \$70.50 |
| Subtotal |  | \$866 |  | 86 | \$3,744 |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$4,610 |
| Sales Tax |  |  |  |  | \$55 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  | \$4,665 |
| Overhead |  |  | 10\% |  | \$466 |
| Profit |  |  | 10\% |  | \$513 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | \$5,644 |

## Estimated Benefits to California

|  | Natural Gas <br> (Therms) | Water (Gallons) | GHG (Tons $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOx } \\ & \text { (Lbs) } \end{aligned}$ | First cost for Compact Architectural Design (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First-year Savings from One Home |  |  |  |  |  |
| Distribution Improvement Only | 11 | 1,750 | 0.056 | 0.026 | 1,500 |
| Distribution Improvement and Low-flow Fixtures | 19 | 3,180 | 0.103 | 0.046 |  |
|  | Natural Gas (Million Therms) | Water (Billion Gallons) | GHG <br> (Thousand Tons $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{e}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOx } \\ & \text { (Ton) } \end{aligned}$ | First cost for Compact Architectural Design (\$Billion) |
| First-year Savings from Annual New Construction of 100,000 Homes |  |  |  |  |  |
| Distribution Improvement Only | 1.1 | 0.18 | 5.6 | 1.3 | 0.15 |
| Distribution Improvement and Low-flow Fixtures | 1.9 | 0.32 | 10.3 | 2.3 |  |
| Cumulative Savings in 10 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| Distribution Improvement Only | 59 | 9.6 | 310 | 71 | 8.3 |
| Distribution Improvement and Low-flow Fixtures | 107 | 17.5 | 565 | 129 |  |

## Distributed Wet-Rooms Normal Flow Rates Normal Pipe

| Pipe Layout Method | Water Heater Location | Pipe Size | Distribution Energy Loss (\% of Fixture Demand) | Load not Met (\% of Fixture Demand) | Distribution Energy Loss Reduction (Compare to Baseline) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trunk \& Branch | Garage, top left corner | Normal | 31\% | 21\% | Baseline |
| Trunk \& Branch | Garage, top right corner | Normal | 28\% | 21\% | 11\% |
| Trunk \& Branch | Near master bathroom | Normal | 29\% | 18\% | 5\% |
| Trunk \& Branch | Near kitchen | Normal | 27\% | 16\% | 12\% |
| Trunk \& Branch | Garage, bottom left (far) corner | Normal | 33\% | 22\% | -6\% |
| Hybrid (Mini-Manifold) | Garage, top left corner | Normal | 32\% | 22\% | -4\% |
| Hybrid (Mini-Manifold) | Garage, top right corner | Normal | 29\% | 21\% | 7\% |
| Hybrid (Mini-Manifold) | Near master bathroom | Normal | 32\% | 20\% | -4\% |
| Hybrid (Mini-Manifold) | Near kitchen | Normal | 36\% | 17\% | -16\% |
| Hybrid (Mini-Manifold) | Garage, bottom left (far) corner | Normal | 34\% | 23\% | -10\% |
| Central Manifold | Garage, top left corner | Normal | 32\% | 24\% | -3\% |
| Central Manifold | Garage, top right corner | Normal | 39\% | 22\% | -26\% |
| Central Manifold | Near master bathroom | Normal | 34\% | 22\% | -10\% |
| Central Manifold | Near kitchen | Normal | 21\% | 19\% | 33\% |
| Central Manifold | Garage, bottom left (far) corner | Normal | 47\% | 25\% | -51\% |
| Two Heaters | Garage, top left corner / near master bathroom | Normal | 14\% | 20\% | 54\% |
| Two Heaters | Near 2nd bathroom / near master bathroom | Normal | 12\% | 18\% | 60\% |
| One Zone | Garage, top left corner | Normal | 35\% | 20\% | -14\% |
| One Zone | Near master bathroom | Normal | 41\% | 18\% | -33\% |
| One Zone | Garage, bottom left (far) corner | Normal | 40\% | 22\% | -30\% |

## Distributed Wet-Rooms Normal Flow Rates Small Pipe

| Pipe Layout Method | Water Heater Location | Pipe Size | Distribution Energy Loss (\% of Fixture Demand) | Load not <br> Met <br> (\% of <br> Fixture <br> Demand) | Distribution Energy Loss Reduction (Compare to Baseline) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trunk \& Branch | Garage, top left corner | Use 3/8" pipe | 30\% | 20\% | 2\% |
| Hybrid (Mini-Manifold) | Garage, top left corner | Use $3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ pipe | 29\% | 20\% | 7\% |
| Central Manifold | Garage, top left corner | Use $3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ pipe | 20\% | 22\% | 35\% |
| Two Heaters | Garage, top left corner / near master bathroom | Use $3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ pipe | 13\% | 20\% | 58\% |
| One Zone | Garage, top left corner | Use $3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ pipe | 34\% | 19\% | -11\% |
| Trunk \& Branch | Garage, top left corner | No 1" pipe | 26\% | 20\% | 16\% |
| Hybrid (Mini-Manifold) | Garage, top left corner | No 1" pipe | 27\% | 21\% | 12\% |
| Central Manifold | Garage, top left corner | No 1" pipe | 30\% | 23\% | 2\% |
| Two Heaters | Garage, top left corner / near master bathroom | No 1" pipe | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| One Zone | Garage, top left corner | No 1" pipe | 27\% | 19\% | 12\% |
| Trunk \& Branch | Garage, top left corner | Use 3/8" pipe \& no 1" pipe | 25\% | 20\% | 18\% |
| Hybrid (Mini-Manifold) | Garage, top left corner | Use 3/8" ${ }^{\text {pipe } \& \text { no } 1^{\prime \prime} \text { pipe }}$ | 24\% | 20\% | 23\% |
| Central Manifold | Garage, top left corner | Use 3/8" pipe \& no 1" pipe | 19\% | 21\% | 39\% |
| Two Heaters | Garage, top left corner / near master bathroom | Use 3/8" pipe \& no 1" pipe | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| One Zone | Garage, top left corner | Use 3/8" pipe \& no 1" pipe | 27\% | 18\% | 14\% |

## Compact Wet-Rooms Normal Flow Rates Normal Pipe

| Pipe Layout Method | Water Heater Location | Pipe Size | Distribution Energy Loss (\% of Fixture Demand) | Load not Met (\% of Fixture Demand) | Distribution Energy Loss Reduction (Compare to Baseline) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trunk \& Branch | Away from fixtures (Garage, top left corner) | Normal | 30\% | 19\% | 2\% |
| Trunk \& Branch | Near fixtures (Near laundry room) | Normal | 17\% | 15\% | 45\% |
| Hybrid (Mini-Manifold) | Away from fixtures (Garage, top left corner) | Normal | 36\% | 21\% | -15\% |
| Hybrid (Mini-Manifold) | Near fixtures (Near laundry room) | Normal | 19\% | 17\% | 39\% |
| Central Manifold | Away from fixtures (Garage, top left corner) | Normal | 29\% | 23\% | 8\% |
| Central Manifold | Near fixtures (Near laundry room) | Normal | 17\% | 18\% | 46\% |
| One Zone | Away from fixtures (Garage, top left corner) | Normal | 33\% | 22\% | -8\% |
| One Zone | Near fixtures (Near laundry room) | Normal | 30\% | 13\% | 4\% |

## Compact Wet-Rooms Normal Flow Rates Small Pipe

| Pipe Layout Method | Water Heater Location | Pipe Size | Distribution <br> Energy Loss <br> (\% of <br> Fixture <br> Demand) | Load not <br> Met <br> (\% of <br> Fixture <br> Demand) | Distribution Energy Loss Reduction (Compare to Baseline) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trunk \& Branch | Away from fixtures (Garage, top left corner) | Use 3/8' ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ pipe | 30\% | 18\% | 5\% |
| Trunk \& Branch | Near fixtures (Near laundry room) | Use $3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ pipe | 12\% | 15\% | 60\% |
| Hybrid (Mini-Manifold) | Away from fixtures (Garage, top left corner) | Use 3/8' ${ }^{\text {" }}$ pipe | 34\% | 20\% | -9\% |
| Hybrid (Mini-Manifold) | Near fixtures (Near laundry room) | Use 3/8' ${ }^{\text {" }}$ pipe | 13\% | 16\% | 57\% |
| Central Manifold | Away from fixtures (Garage, top left corner) | Use $3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ pipe | 19\% | 22\% | 40\% |
| Central Manifold | Near fixtures (Near laundry room) | Use $3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ pipe | 8\% | 17\% | 74\% |
| One Zone | Away from fixtures (Garage, top left corner) | Use 3/8" pipe | 33\% | 21\% | -5\% |
| One Zone | Near fixtures (Near laundry room) | Use 3/8" ${ }^{\text {" }}$ pipe | 29\% | 12\% | 6\% |
| Trunk \& Branch | Away from fixtures (Garage, top left corner) | No 1" pipe | 26\% | 18\% | 16\% |
| Trunk \& Branch | Near fixtures (Near laundry room) | No 1" pipe | 15\% | 14\% | 52\% |
| Hybrid (Mini-Manifold) | Away from fixtures (Garage, top left corner) | No 1" pipe | 30\% | 20\% | 2\% |
| Hybrid (Mini-Manifold) | Near fixtures (Near laundry room) | No 1" pipe | 18\% | 17\% | 43\% |
| Central Manifold | Away from fixtures (Garage, top left corner) | No 1" pipe | 27\% | 22\% | 12\% |
| Central Manifold | Near fixtures (Near laundry room) | No 1" pipe | 16\% | 18\% | 48\% |
| One Zone | Away from fixtures (Garage, top left corner) | No 1" pipe | 23\% | 21\% | 25\% |
| One Zone | Near fixtures (Near laundry room) | No 1" pipe | 28\% | 13\% | 9\% |
| Trunk \& Branch | Away from fixtures (Garage, top left corner) | Use 3/8" pipe \& no 1" pipe | 25\% | 17\% | 19\% |
| Trunk \& Branch | Near fixtures (Near laundry room) | Use 3/8" pipe \& no 1" pipe | 10\% | 14\% | 66\% |
| Hybrid (Mini-Manifold) | Away from fixtures (Garage, top left corner) | Use 3/8" pipe \& no 1" pipe | 29\% | 19\% | 8\% |
| Hybrid (Mini-Manifold) | Near fixtures (Near laundry room) | Use 3/8" pipe \& no 1" pipe | 13\% | 16\% | 59\% |
| Central Manifold | Away from fixtures (Garage, top left corner) | Use 3/8" pipe \& no 1" pipe | 17\% | 21\% | 44\% |
| Central Manifold | Near fixtures (Near laundry room) | Use 3/8" pipe \& no 1" pipe | 7\% | 16\% | 77\% |
| One Zone | Away from fixtures (Garage, top left corner) | Use 3/8" pipe \& no 1" pipe | 22\% | 20\% | 28\% |
| One Zone | Near fixtures (Near laundry room) | Use 3/8" pipe \& no 1" pipe | 27\% | 12\% | 11\% |

## Distributed Wet-Rooms Low Flow Rates Normal Pipe

|  |  |  | Distribution Energy Loss (\% of Baseline Fixture Demand) |  | Energy Demand from the Water Heater (\% of Baseline) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pipe Layout Method | Water Heater Location | Pipe Size | Low Flow | Normal Flow | Low Flow | Normal Flow |
| Trunk \& Branch | Garage, top left corner | Normal | 31.3\% | 31.0\% | 88\% | 100\% |
| Trunk \& Branch | Garage, top right corner | Normal | 27.60\% | 27.58\% | 85\% | 97\% |
| Trunk \& Branch | Near master bathroom | Normal | 30.0\% | 29.5\% | 87\% | 99\% |
| Trunk \& Branch | Near kitchen | Normal | 27.6\% | 27.3\% | 85\% | 97\% |
| Trunk \& Branch | Garage, bottom left (far) corner | Normal | 33.3\% | 32.8\% | 89\% | 101\% |
| Hybrid (Mini-Manifold) | Garage, top left corner | Normal | 32.5\% | 32.3\% | 89\% | 101\% |
| Hybrid (Mini-Manifold) | Garage, top right corner | Normal | 28.97\% | 28.96\% | 86\% | 98\% |
| Hybrid (Mini-Manifold) | Near master bathroom | Normal | 33.0\% | 32.3\% | 89\% | 101\% |
| Hybrid (Mini-Manifold) | Near kitchen | Normal | 36.2\% | 36.0\% | 92\% | 104\% |
| Hybrid (Mini-Manifold) | Garage, bottom left (far) corner | Normal | 34.4\% | 34.0\% | 90\% | 102\% |
| Central Manifold | Garage, top left corner | Normal | 32.1\% | 31.9\% | 88\% | 101\% |
| Central Manifold | Garage, top right corner | Normal | 39.5\% | 39.2\% | 94\% | 106\% |
| Central Manifold | Near master bathroom | Normal | 34.6\% | 33.9\% | 90\% | 102\% |
| Central Manifold | Near kitchen | Normal | 21.5\% | 20.8\% | 80\% | 92\% |
| Central Manifold | Garage, bottom left (far) corner | Normal | 46.95\% | 46.93\% | 100\% | 112\% |
| Two Heaters | Garage, top left corner / near master bathroom | Normal | 15.0\% | 14.3\% | 75\% | 87\% |
| Two Heaters | Near 2nd bathroom / near master bathroom | Normal | 12.8\% | 12.3\% | 74\% | 86\% |
| One Zone | Garage, top left corner | Normal | 36.4\% | 35.3\% | 92\% | 103\% |
| One Zone | Near master bathroom | Normal | 41.8\% | 41.2\% | 96\% | 108\% |
| One Zone | Garage, bottom left (far) corner | Normal | 40.4\% | 40.3\% | 95\% | 107\% |

## Compact Wet-Rooms Low Flow Rates Normal Pipe

|  |  |  | Distribution Energy Loss (\% of Baseline Fixture Demand) |  | Energy Demand from the Water Heater (\% of Baseline) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pipe Layout Method | Water Heater Location | Pipe Size | Low Flow | Normal Flow | Low Flow | Normal Flow |
| Trunk \& Branch | Away from fixtures (Garage, top left corner) | Normal | 30.9\% | 30.4\% | 87\% | 100\% |
| Trunk \& Branch | Near fixtures (Near laundry room) | Normal | 17.7\% | 17.1\% | 77\% | 89\% |
| Hybrid (Mini-Manifold) | Away from fixtures (Garage, top left corner) | Normal | 36.2\% | 35.7\% | 92\% | 104\% |
| Hybrid (Mini-Manifold) | Near fixtures (Near laundry room) | Normal | 19.4\% | 18.9\% | 79\% | 91\% |
| Central Manifold | Away from fixtures (Garage, top left corner) | Normal | 29.1\% | 28.7\% | 86\% | 98\% |
| Central Manifold | Near fixtures (Near laundry room) | Normal | 17.4\% | 16.7\% | 77\% | 89\% |
| One Zone | Away from fixtures (Garage, top left corner) | Normal | 33.5\% | 33.3\% | 89\% | 102\% |
| One Zone | Near fixtures (Near laundry room) | Normal | 30.4\% | 29.7\% | 87\% | 99\% |

## The Best Systems We Evaluated

|  |  |  |  | Energy/Water Use Reduction from Baseline |  | Annual Energy Savings (Therm/Year) |  | Annual Water Savings (Gallon/Year) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Architectural Design | Pipe Layout Method | Water Heater Location | Pipe Size | Normal Flow | Low Flow | Normal Flow | Low Flow | Normal Flow | Low Flow |
| Compact | Trunk \& Branch | Near fixtures <br> (Near laundry room) | Use 3/8" pipe | 14\% | 26\% | 10.4 | 19.2 | 1,700 | 3,150 |
| Compact | Hybrid (Mini-Manifold) | Near fixtures (Near laundry room) | Use 3/8" pipe | 13\% | 26\% | 9.9 | 18.8 | 1,630 | 3,080 |
| Compact | Central Manifold | Near fixtures (Near laundry room) | Use 3/8" pipe | 18\% | 30\% | 12.9 | 21.8 | 2,110 | 3,580 |
| Compact | Trunk \& Branch | Near fixtures (Near laundry room) | No 1" pipe | 12\% | 24\% | 9.1 | 17.8 | 1,500 | 2,920 |
| Compact | Central Manifold | Near fixtures (Near laundry room) | No 1" pipe | 11\% | 24\% | 8.4 | 17.3 | 1,380 | 2,840 |
| Compact | Trunk \& Branch | Near fixtures (Near laundry room) | Use $3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ pipe \& no 1" pipe | 16\% | 27\% | 11.5 | 19.9 | 1,890 | 3,260 |
| Compact | Hybrid (Mini-Manifold) | Near fixtures (Near laundry room) | Use 3/8" pipe \& no 1" pipe | 14\% | 26\% | 10.2 | 19.1 | 1,670 | 3,130 |
| Compact | Central Manifold | Near fixtures (Near laundry room) | Use $3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ pipe \& no 1" pipe | 18\% | 30\% | 13.3 | 22.2 | 2,190 | 3,650 |
| Distributed | Two Heaters | Garage, top left corner / near master bathroom | Use 3/8" pipe | 14\% | 25\% | 10.0 | 18.6 | 1,650 | 3,050 |
|  |  |  | Average | 14\% | 26\% | 11 | 19 | 1,750 | 3,180 |

## What Did We Learn?

## What Do We Recommend?

## Is There a Limit to How Low We Can Go?

## Flow Rates for Faucets, Tubs and Showers

| Fixture | Flow Rate-Rated <br> $(\mathrm{gpm})$ | Flow Rate- All Hot <br> $(\mathrm{gpm})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Shower- stand alone | 2.0 | 1.4 |
| $[1.0-2.5]$ | $[60 \%-80 \%]$ |  |

## Fixed vs. Variable Orifices

- Fixed Orifice:
- High pressure: High flow rate
- Low pressure: Low flow rate
- Before 2000, practically all fixture fittings and appliances
- Pressure Compensating Aerators
- Adjusts flow rate to compensate for available pressure
- Almost the same flow rate for all pressures above 20-25 psi
- Ramped up from 2000-2012 for showerheads
- Today more than $90 \%$ and many faucet aerators

Pressure Compensating Aerators -1


## Pressure Compensating Aerators - 2



Pressure Compensating Aerators - 3


## Pressure Compensating Aerators - 4



## Pipe Sizing for Peak Flows

## Standard Method
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## Appendix M:

Water Demand Calculator

| PROJECT NAME : |  |  |  |  | Select Unit | ts $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | XXX-XXX |  | GPM | LPM | LPS |
| FIXTURE GROUPS |  | $\begin{gathered} {[\mathrm{A}]} \\ \text { FIXTURE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { [B] } \\ \text { ENTER } \\ \text { NUMBER } \\ \text { OF FIXTURES } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { [C] } \\ & \text { PROBABILITY } \\ & \text { OF USE } \\ & (\%) \end{aligned}$ | [D] ENTER FIXTURE FLOW RATE (GPM) | [E] MAXIMUM RECOMMENDED FIITURE FLOW RATE (GPM) |
| Bathroom Fixtures | 1 | Bathtub (no Shower) | 0 | 1.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
|  | 2 | Bidet | 0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
|  | 3 | Combination Bath/Shower | 0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
|  | 4 | Faucet, Lavatory | 0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
|  | 5 | Shower, per head (no Bathtub) | 0 | 4.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
|  | 6 | Water Closet, 1.28 GPF Gravity Tank | 0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Kitchen Fixtures | 7 | Dishwasher | 0 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
|  | 8 | Faucet, Kitchen Sink | 0 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Laundry Room Fixtures | 9 | Clothes Washer | 0 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
|  | 10 | Faucet, Laundry | 0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Bar/Prep Fixtures | 11 | Faucet, Bar Sink | 0 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Other Fixtures | 12 | Fixture 1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 |
|  | 13 | Fixture 2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 |
|  | 14 | Fixture 3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 |
| Total Number of Fixtures 0 |  |  |  |  |  | RUN WATER |
| 99 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ PERCENTILE DEMAND FLOW $=$ |  |  |  | GPM | RESET |  |

## Appendix M

1. Provides a method to estimate the demand load for the building water supply and principal branches

- For single and multi-family dwellings
- With water conserving plumbing fixtures, fixture fittings and appliances

2. The method used in the Peak Water Demand Calculator is based on probabilities of simultaneous use from residential water use surveys and actual fixture flow rates
3. A useful tool for "right-sizing" pipe.

## There is a Limit to How Low We Can Go.

- Unless the heater is in the fixture or appliance, there will always be some volume in the pipe between the source and the use.
- It takes roughly twice the volume in the pipe for hot water to come out the other end.
- We need to decide what is an "acceptable" time-totap or volume-until-hot and work backwards to determine the maximum allowable in the pipe between the source and the use.
- Plumbing up from below needs about 5 feet of pipe.
- Plumbing down from above needs about 10 feet of pipe


## Time-to-Tap, Volume-until-Hot - 5 ft . of Pipe

| Pipe Material | Pipe Diameter (nominal, inches) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.25 | 0.375 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 1 |
| Gallons to Hot: 5 Feet of Pipe |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copper-Type L | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.43 |
| CPVC | NA | NA | 0.10 | 0.21 | 0.35 |
| PEX | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.31 |
| Time to Hot @ 0.5.gpm: 5 Feet of Pipe (seconds) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copper-Type L | 5 | 9 | 15 | 30 | 51 |
| CPVC | NA | NA | 12 | 25 | 42 |
| PEX | 3 | 6 | 11 | 22 | 37 |
| Time to Hot @ 1.0 gpm: 5 Feet of Pipe (seconds) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copper-Type L | 2 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 26 |
| CPVC | NA | NA | 6 | 13 | 21 |
| PEX | 2 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 18 |

## Time-to-Tap, Volume-until-Hot - 10 ft . of Pipe

| Pipe Material | Pipe Diameter (nominal, inches) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.25 | 0.375 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 1 |
| Gallons to Hot: 10 Feet of Pipe |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copper-Type L | 0.08 | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.50 | 0.86 |
| CPVC | NA | NA | 0.20 | 0.42 | 0.69 |
| PEX | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.37 | 0.61 |
| Time to Hot @ 0.5.gpm: 10 Feet of Pipe (seconds) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copper-Type L | 10 | 18 | 29 | 60 | 103 |
| CPVC | NA | NA | 23 | 50 | 83 |
| PEX | 6 | 12 | 22 | 44 | 73 |
| Time to Hot @ 1.0 gpm: 10 Feet of Pipe (seconds) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copper-Type L | 5 | 9 | 15 | 30 | 51 |
| CPVC | NA | NA | 12 | 25 | 42 |
| PEX | 3 | 6 | 11 | 22 | 37 |

## How Low Can We Go? How Close Can We Get?

- The shorter the pipe, the less time it takes.
- The lower the flow rate, the longer it takes.
- How long is too long?
- 5 seconds?
- 10 seconds?
- Longer?

Water, energy and time efficient hot water systems start with deciding how long we want people to wait.

## Proposal RE 162

- 2021 International Energy Conservation Code (IECC)
- Proposal RE 162
- Gives credit for architectural compactness in the performance compliance path
- Locate the wet rooms close to each other and to the water heater that serves them.
- Obtain a $5-15 \%$ reduction in daily hot water volume and the energy needed to heat it
- ICC Code Hearings and Expo, October 20-30, 2019 in Las Vegas, NV


## Changes to IECC Table R405.5.2(1)

| Building Component | Standard Reference Design | As Proposed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service Water Heating de,f,g | As proposed. Use: same ss <br> proposed design. <br> Use, in units of gal/day $=30+(10 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{Nb})$ <br> where: <br> $\mathrm{Nbr}=$ number of bedrooms. | As proposed Use, in units of gal/day $=(30+(10 \mathrm{x}$ Nbr $) \underline{\mathrm{x}(1-\mathrm{HWDS})}$ where: <br> $\mathrm{Nbr}=$ number of bedrooms. <br> HWDS = factor for the compactness of the hot water distribution system |  |  |
|  |  | Compactness Ratio |  | $\frac{\text { HWDS }}{\text { Factor }^{\text {i }}}$ |
|  |  | 1 Story | 2 or More Stories |  |
|  |  | > $60 \%$ | >30\% | 0 |
|  |  | $\geq 30 \%-\leq 60 \%$ | $\geq 15 \%-\leq 30 \%$ | 0.05 |
|  |  | $>15 \%-\leq 30 \%$ | $>7.5 \%-\leq 15 \%$ | 0.10 |
|  |  | <15\% | <7.5\% | 0.15 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Supporting Footnote

- The factor for the compactness of the hot water distribution system is the ratio of the rectangle that bounds the water heater and the fixtures that it serves divided by the area of the dwelling.
- The hot water distribution system rectangle shall include the source of hot water and the termination of the fixture supplies on the hot water piping that are furthest from that water heater. Sources of hot water include water heaters, or in multi-family with central water heating systems, circulation loops or electric heat traced pipes.
- The hot water distribution rectangle shall be shown on the floor plans and the area shall be computed to the nearest square foot.
- Where there is more than one water heater and each water heater serves different plumbing fixtures and appliances, it is permissible to determine the area of each hot water distribution system and add these together to determine the Compactness Ratio.
- The basement or attic shall be counted as a story when it contains the water heater.


## Cold-Start Function Faucets

## Do you know...

- Anyone who lifts a single lever faucet straight up just to rinse something?
- Sort of half-hot, half-cold and half-flow?
- Typically for a very short time?
- This means you get whatever temperature is in the pipes, but you drew hot water from the water heater, the heat content of which most often dissipates into the building?
- What if there was a single lever faucet that provided only cold water in the neutral position and which you needed to move intentionally "left-of-center" to get hot water at all?


## Here's how it works

- The cold-start function avoids hot water waste, saving up to $30 \%$ in energy. When you lift the lever straight up, only cold water is released. The function restricts opening the lever fully to the right.
- Hot water is released by turning the lever to the left. It is only then that hot water is consumed.
©




## Next Steps?

- Any ideas to improve the functionality?
- Any ideas to improve energy efficiency?
- Standards?
- Incentive programs?
- Codes?


# Pressure Drop in Modern Pipe and Pipe Fittings 

The Search for Up-to-Date Information Continues...

## Do you know someone who has ever...

- Compared the inside diameter of different pipe materials of the same nominal dimension; and noticed they were very different?
- Looked up data on pressure drop in pipe fittings and wondered why different sources had different numbers for the same materials?
- Wondered why the "well-designed" plumbing system didn't work as expected?
- Actually measured the performance of a plumbing system? And then compared what they measured to the design?
- Wondered why pipe sizes haven't gotten smaller even though flow rates have been dropping for the past 50 years?
- Thought it would be useful to have an up-to-date manual to use for the design and installation of modern plumbing systems?


## Background

- Pipe fitting types and materials have changed since the development of plumbing design charts (Hunter Curves, Moody Diagram)
- Theoretical / calculation-based methods vs. measured data
- Based on steel pipe and threaded and flanged fittings.
- No copper, no plastic.
- Now we have a large variety of fitting types and materials
- Are the data we use representative of present day materials and fittings?


## EXPERIMENTS UPON THE FLOW OF WATER IN PIPES AND PIPE FITTINGS
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## Before going too far...

Please share your understanding of pressure drop through pipe and fittings

## Theory - Pressure Loss in a Circular Pipe

 What happens to pressure drop when we double the:- Velocity (V)
- Length (L)
- Diameter (D)
- Friction Factor $\left(f_{D}\right)$

wwwwwwwww


1

wwwwwwwww + wwwwwwwww

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Darcy Weisbach Equation } \\
\Delta p=f_{D} \frac{L}{D} \frac{\rho V^{2}}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Theory - Flow Types

- Friction Factor
- Based on the Reynolds Number and Relative Roughness of the pipe.
- Relative Roughness
- the characteristics of the type of pipe and diameter
- Reynolds Number
- based on velocity, fluid density, fluid viscosity, and diameter, determines the type of flow
laminar flow

turbulent flow



## $\rho V D$ <br> 

$\mu$ - fluid dynamic viscosity in $\mathrm{kg} /(\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s})$
$\rho-$ fluid density in $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
$V$ - fluid velocity in $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$
$D$ - pipe diameter in $m$

## Currently Available Pressure Drop Data for Fittings

## Copper Type-L: Fittings and Equivalent Length

| Size <br> (in) | Equivalent Length (feet) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${90^{\circ}}^{\circ}$ Elbow | $\mathbf{4 5}^{\circ}$ Elbow | Line Tee | Branch Tee |
| $1 / 2$ | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 2.0 |
| $5 / 8$ | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 2.5 |
| $7 / 8$ | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 3.5 |
| $11 / 8$ | 1.8 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 4.5 |
| $13 / 8$ | 2.4 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 6.0 |
| $15 / 8$ | 2.8 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 7.0 |
| $21 / 8$ | 3.9 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 10.0 |
| $25 / 8$ | 4.6 | 2.2 | 3.5 | 12.0 |

http://www.engineeringtoolbox.com/equivalent-length-copper-pipe-fittings-d 1670.html

Source of the data? Size=ID or OD? What velocity?

# Copper Type-L: Fittings and Equivalent Length 

| Nominal or standard size, inches | Fittings |  |  |  |  | Valves |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Standard ell |  | $90^{\circ}$ tee |  | Coupling | Ball | Gate | Btfly | Check |
|  | $90^{\circ}$ | $45^{\circ}$ | Side branch | Straight run |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3/8 | . 5 | - | 1.5 | - | - | - | - | - | 1.5 |
| 1/2 | 1 | . 5 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| 5\% | 1.5 | . 5 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 2.5 |
| 3/4 | 2 | . 5 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| 1 | 2.5 | 1 | 4.5 | - | - | . 5 | - | - | 4.5 |
| $11 / 4$ | 3 | 1 | 5.5 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 | - | - | 5.5 |
| 11/2 | 4 | 1.5 | 7 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | 6.5 |
| 2 | 5.5 | 2 | 9 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 | 7.5 | 9 |
| $21 / 2$ | 7 | 2.5 | 12 | . 5 | . 5 | - | 1 | 10 | 11.5 |
| 3 | 9 | 3.5 | 15 | 1 | 1 | - | 1.5 | 15.5 | 14.5 |
| $31 / 2$ | 9 | 3.5 | 14 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | 12.5 |
| 4 | 12.5 | 5 | 21 | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 16 | 18.5 |
| 5 | 16 | 6 | 27 | 1.5 | 1.5 | - | 3 | 11.5 | 23.5 |
| 6 | 19 | 7 | 34 | 2 | 2 | - | 3.5 | 13.5 | 26.5 |
| 8 | 29 | 11 | 50 | 3 | 3 | - | 5 | 12.5 | 39 |

CDA Publication A4015-14/16: Copper Tube Handbook, Table 14-7, Page 78
Source of the data? Size=ID or OD? What velocity?

## PEX: Fittings and Equivalent Length

| Type of Fitting |  | Equivalent Length of Tubing (ft.) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ Size | $3 / 4$ " Size | I" Size |  |
| Coupling | 2.9 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 1.3 |  |
| Elbow $90^{\circ}$ | 9.2 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 10.0 |  |
| Tee-branch | 9.4 | 10.4 | 8.9 | 11.0 |  |
| Tee-run | 2.9 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 2.3 |  |

https://www.huduser.gov/portal/publications/pex design guide.pdf Page 79
Source of the data? Size= ID or OD?
What velocity?

## PVC and CPVC: Fittings and Equivalent Length

Approximate Friction Loss For PVC and CPVC Fittings In Equivalent Feet Of Straight Pipe

| Fitting | $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{2}^{\prime \prime}$ | $\mathbf{3 / 4}$ | $\mathbf{1}^{\prime \prime}$ | $\mathbf{1} 14^{\prime \prime}$ | $\mathbf{1}^{1 / \mathbf{2}^{\prime \prime}}$ | $\mathbf{2}^{\prime \prime}$ | $\mathbf{2}^{1 / 2^{\prime \prime}}$ | $\mathbf{3}^{\prime \prime}$ | $\mathbf{4}^{\prime \prime}$ | $\mathbf{6}^{\prime \prime}$ | $\mathbf{8}^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tee (Run) | 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 4.3 | 5.1 | 6.2 | 8.3 | 12.5 | 16.5 |
| Tee (Branch) | 4.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 7.3 | 8.4 | 12.0 | 15.0 | 16.4 | 22.0 | 32.7 | 49.0 |
| $90^{\circ}$ Elbow | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 5.7 | 6.9 | 7.9 | 12.0 | 18.0 | 22.0 |
| $45^{\circ}$ Elbow | .80 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 4.0 | 5.1 | 8.0 | 10.6 |
| Male/Female Adapter | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.75 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 6.5 | 9.0 | 14.0 | - | velocity?

## How Does One Measure Pressure Drop?

- Look for an official Method of Test. Still looking!
- Ask knowledgeable colleagues. We have and still do!
- Develop a reasonable test procedure.
- We need to know the water temperature, delta-p and velocity.
- Establish a range of velocities. Determine target flow rates for each velocity for each pipe material. Measure water temperature before and after the test segments. Same for pressure. Measure flow rate.
- Hold each target flow rate constant for a specified amount of time.
- Record data. Analyze. Share results.


## What are we missing?

- What water temperature(s) should be used? How constant does it need to be?
- What happens if available water pressure varies during a test?
- Where do you put the pressure taps? How far from the fitting? Orientation on the pipe? Any issues with really small diameters?
- What is the measurement accuracy of each device? The system? How accurate do we think we can be?
- What if we measure the pressure drop of more than one fitting at a time?
- Does water hardness matter?
- Anything else?


## We Decided to:

Measure the pressure drop for a pipe segment with and without multiple fittings then calculate:

- Pressure drop per fitting
- Subtract the pressure drop due to the straight pipe from total for the test segment to get the pressure drop due to the fittings. Divide by the number of fittings to get pressure drop per fitting.
- Equivalent length per fitting
- Convert the pressure drop from PSI to equivalent length. Divide the pressure drop per fitting by the PSI per foot of the straight pipe. Answer will be in feet.


## Test Site \#1

Southern California Gas Company Hot Water Demonstration Lab Downey, CA

## 50 feet of pipe, with and without elbows



## Pipe from $1 / 4$ inch to $3 / 4$ inch Nominal



## Matrix of Tests

Nominal Diameter
 $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ $1 / 8 "$


Fitting material, type and technology

## Real-time data



## Pressure Drop Due to Elbows

|  | Equivalent Feet of 1/2" Tubing |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Water Velocity in Tubing Feet per Second |  |  |  |
| 90 Elbow Type | 2 FPS | 4 FPS | 5 FPS | 8 FPS |
| PEX Crimp Insert | 8.6 | 10.1 | 9.8 | 11.9 |
| PEX Poly SS Press | 7.9 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 9.6 |
| PEX Cold Expansion | 6.6 | 7.3 | 8.0 | 9.1 |
| CPVC (Std Elb) | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.3 |
| Copper (Std Tight Elb) | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.6 |

## Pressure Drop Due to Elbows

|  | Equivalent Feet of 3/4" Tubing |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Water Velocity in Tubing Feet per Second |  |  |  |
| 90 Elbow Type | 2 FPS | 4 FPS | 5 FPS | 8 FPS |
| PEX Poly SS Press | 7.0 | 6.3 | 6.7 | 7.1 |
| PEX Cold Expansion | 4.8 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 5.2 |
| PEX Push to Connect | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| CPVC (Std Elb) | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Copper (Std Tight Elb) | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

## Lessons Learned

- Need steady pressure and consistent temperature
- Use a differential pressure gauge for more accurate data collection



## 

## Test Site \#2 Arcata, CA

## Start from Scratch...

- Shorten pipe length
- Increase signal (fittings) to noise (pipe)
- Portable
- Up to 1 inch nominal diameter pipe
- Site has relatively consistent temperature
- Add pressure tank to stabilize already relatively steady
 water pressure

Added a pressure regulating tank


## Visual pressure gauges (x2) <br> Temperature sensor (x2)



Adapters to switch out test segments

Flow meter after the other measurements

Pressure Tank


Data collection

## Elbows - PEX

| Technology (PEX) | Image |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poly Push to Connect <br> Inner Seal <br> $3 / 4$ <br> in |  |
| Brass Push to <br> Connect Outer Seal <br> $3 / 4$ <br> in |  |
| Brass Crimp <br> $3 / 4$ <br> in |  |


| Technology <br> (PEX) | Image |
| :--- | :--- |
| Brass Press <br> $1 / 2$ in |  |
| Poly Press <br> $1 / 2$ in |  |
| Bend Support |  |
| Poly <br> Expansion $3 / 4$ <br> in |  |

## Elbows - Copper Type-L

| Technology (Copper) | Image |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Elbow (tight inside <br> corner) |  |
| Long Radius Sweep |  |

## More Copper Elbows - Type L



## Couplings

| Technology <br> (PEX) | Image |
| :--- | :--- |
| Poly Press <br> $3 / 4$ <br> in |  |
| Brass Press <br> $3 / 4$ <br> in |  |
| Poly <br> Expansion <br> $1 / 2$ in |  |

## Tees

| Technology <br> (PEX) | Image |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Poly Press <br> $1 / 2$ in |  |
| Brass Press <br> $1 / 2$ in |  |
| 1 |  |

## Data Collection

- Start test by running at maximum velocity. If it fails, reduce the number of fittings
- Observe raw data between runs to see if pressure was steady during test
- When flow switches regimes causes pressure to fluctuate more?


## Data Collection

3/8 in Copper Elbow Pressure (psi)

- If pressure and flow look steady then data is cleaned to get a clear 30 seconds at each target flow rate (really
velocity @
$2,4,6,8,10 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s})$


## Target Flow Rates

| Target Flow Rates for 0.375 Inch Pipe |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Velocity (ft/s) | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 |  |
|  | Flow Rate Target (gpm) |  |  |  |  |
| 0.375 inch PEX | 0.60 | 1.20 | 1.80 | 2.40 | 3.0 |
| 0.375 inch CPVC | 0.63 | 1.27 | 1.90 | 2.54 | 3.1 |
| . 375 | 0.9 | 1.81 | 2.72 | 3.62 |  |


| Target Flow Rates for 0.5 Inch Pipe |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flow Velocity (ft/s) | $\mathbf{2}$ | 4 |  | 6 | 8 |
|  | Flow Rate Target (gpm) |  |  |  |  |
| 0.5 inch PEX | 1.10 | 2.21 | 3.31 | 4.42 | 5.52 |
| 0.5 inch CPVC | 1.15 | 2.30 | 3.45 | 4.61 | 5.76 |
| 0.5 inch Copper | 1.45 | 2.91 | 4.36 | 5.82 | 7.27 |

## Comparing Pressure Drop - 0.5 inch Nominal

| Pressure per Fitting (psi/fitting) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flow Velocity (ft/s) | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 |
|  | Flow Rate Target (gpm) |  |  |  |  |
| 0.5 inch PEX | 1.10 | 2.21 | 3.31 | 4.42 | 5.52 |
| 0.5 inch CPVC | 1.15 | 2.30 | 3.45 | 4.61 | 5.76 |
| 0.5 inch Copper | 1.45 | 2.91 | 4.36 | 5.82 | 7.27 |
| PEX Bend Support | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| Copper Elbow-Swoop | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 0.31 |
| PEX Poly Expansion Tee Run to Run | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.24 | 0.36 |
| PEX Poly Expansion Coupling | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.43 |
| CPVC Tee Branch to Run | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.29 | 0.56 | 0.88 |
| CPVC Tee Run to Branch | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.27 | 0.55 | 0.92 |
| CPVC Elbow | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.28 | 0.48 | 0.80 |
| PEX Brass Push to Connect Outer Seal Elbow No Insert | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.31 | 0.56 | 0.89 |
| PEX Brass Push to Connect Outer Seal Elbow Insert | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 1.7 |
| PEX Brass Crimp Elbow | 0.1 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 3.2 |
| PEX Brass Press Elbow | 0.1 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 2.7 |
| PEX Poly Crimp Elbow x6 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 5.4 |
| PEX Poly Press Elbow $\times 6$ | 0.2 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 3.6 | 5.6 |
| PEX Poly Press Tee Run to Branch x6 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 5.4 |
| PEX Poly Press Tee Branch to Run x6 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 3.6 | 5.7 |
| PEX Poly Push to Connect Inner Seal Elbow x6 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 4.2 | 6.5 |

## Comparing Pressure Drop - 0.5 inch Nominal

| Equivalent Length per Fitting (ft/fitting) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flow Velocity (ft/s) | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 |
|  | Flow Rate Target (gpm) |  |  |  |  |
| 0.5 inch PEX | 1.10 | 2.21 | 3.31 | 4.42 | 5.52 |
| 0.5 inch CPVC | 1.15 | 2.30 | 3.45 | 4.61 | 5.76 |
| 0.5 inch Copper | 1.45 | 2.91 | 4.36 | 5.82 | 7.27 |
| PEX Bend Support | -0.17 | -0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| Copper Elbow-Swoop | 0.35 | 0.65 | 0.87 | 0.94 | 0.99 |
| PEX Poly Expansion Tee Run to Run | 0.55 | 0.72 | 0.75 | 0.81 | 0.82 |
| CPVC Tee Branch to Run | 0.65 | 1.36 | 1.57 | 1.84 | 1.96 |
| PEX Poly Expansion Coupling | 0.70 | 0.84 | 0.88 | 0.95 | 0.97 |
| CPVC Tee Run to Branch | 0.74 | 1.45 | 1.50 | 1.81 | 2.05 |
| CPVC Elbow | 0.94 | 1.32 | 1.51 | 1.59 | 1.77 |
| PEX Brass Push to Connect Outer Seal Elbow No | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| PEX Brass Push to Connect Outer Seal Elbow Insert | 2.7 | 3.2 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.9 |
| PEX Brass Crimp Elbow | 4.8 | 6.2 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 7.3 |
| PEX Brass Press Elbow | 5.0 | 4.9 | 5.5 | 6.2 | 6.2 |
| PEX Poly Crimp Elbow x6 | 8.5 | 10.5 | 11.1 | 12.0 | 12.2 |
| PEX Poly Press Elbow $\times 6$ | 9.3 | 10.4 | 11.0 | 12.3 | 12.8 |
| PEX Poly Press Tee Run to Branch x6 | 10.4 | 10.3 | 11.1 | 11.9 | 12.3 |
| PEX Poly Press Tee Branch to Run x6 | 10.6 | 11.1 | 11.6 | 12.3 | 12.9 |
| PEX Poly Push to Connect Inner Seal Elbow x6 | 11.7 | 12.3 | 13.2 | 14.3 | 14.8 |

## PEX-Pressure Drop in Assorted Fittings



| Target Flow Rates for 0.5 Inch Pipe |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flow Velocity (ft/s) | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 8 | 10 |
|  | Flow Rate Target (gpm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.5 inch PEX | 1.10 | 2.21 | 3.31 | 4.42 | 5.52 |  |  |  |
| 0.5 inch CPVC | 1.15 | 2.30 | 3.45 | 4.61 | 5.76 |  |  |  |
| 0.5 inch Copper | 1.45 | 2.91 | 4.36 | 5.82 | 7.27 |  |  |  |

## CPVC-Pressure Drop in Assorted Fittings



Target Flow Rates for 0.5 Inch Pipe

| Flow Velocity (ft/s) | 2 | 4 |  | 6 | 8 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Flow Rate Target (gpm) |  |  |  |  |
| 0.5 inch PEX | 1.10 | 2.21 | 3.31 | 4.42 | 5.52 |
| 0.5 inch CPVC | 1.15 | 2.30 | 3.45 | 4.61 | 5.76 |
| 0.5 inch Copper | 1.45 | 2.91 | 4.36 | 5.82 | 7.27 |

## Comparing Configurations of PEX Pipe



## Comparing Configurations of PEX Pipe



## Equivalent Length of Assorted Fittings

Equivalent Length of Fittings


[^0]
## Equivalent Length of Assorted Fittings

Equivalent Length of Fittings


## Comparing a Range of Elbows for PEX Pipe



With and without insert

## Pressure Drop of Assorted Elbows

Pressure Drop for Selected 90-Degree Bends


## Equivalent Length of Assorted Elbows



# Relative Size of the Waterway for Selected 0.5 inch Pipe and Fittings 



Copper Type-L
PEX or CPVC PEX Cold-
Expansion

PEX Crimp or Press

| $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ inch Nominal Pipe (inches) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Size | Nom OD | Wall Ave | Tol+/- | Nom ID |
| 1/2 PEX ASTM F876 | 0.625 | 0.070 | 0.010 | 0.475 |
| 1/2 CPVC, ASTM D2846 | 0.625 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.475 |
| $1 / 2$ inch Copper Type-L ASTM B88 | 0.625 | 0.040 | 0.004 | 0.545 |

## PEX-Pressure Drop in Assorted Fittings




| Target Flow Rates for 0.375 Inch Pipe |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flow Velocity (ft/s) | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 |
|  | Flow Rate Target (gpm) |  |  |  |  |
| 0.375 inch PEX | 0.60 | 1.20 | 1.80 | 2.40 | 3.00 |
| 0.375 inch CPVC | 0.63 | 1.27 | 1.90 | 2.54 | 3.17 |
| 0.375 inch Copper | 0.91 | 1.81 | 2.72 | 3.62 | 4.53 |

## What is the Flow Regime?



Fig. 8.14 Friction factor for fully developed flow in circular plpes. (Data from [6], used by permission.)

| Legend | Regime | Re Range | 1-D Dispersive Transport |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | turbulent | $20,000<\operatorname{Re}$ | low (probably not important) |
|  | transition | $4,000<\operatorname{Re}<20,000$ | low to moderate (might be important) |
|  | critical | $2,000<\operatorname{Re}<4,000$ | moderate to high (likely to be important) |
|  | laminar | $0<\operatorname{Re}<2,000$ | high (important) |

## Variation of Viscosity Due to Temperature

 How large is the difference in viscosity between water at 70F and water at 140F?| Temp (F) | Viscosity $\mathbf{( f t \wedge 2 / s )}$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 50 | 0.000014063 |
| 60 | 0.000012075 |
| 70 | 0.000010503 |
| 80 | 0.00000925 |
| 90 | 0.000008234 |
| 100 | 0.000007392 |
| 110 | 0.000006682 |
| 120 | 0.000006075 |
| 130 | 0.000005551 |
| 140 | 0.000005102 |

Ratio of the Viscosity at<br>70F and 140F<br>$0.000010503 / 0.000005102=2.01$

## Copper Type-L

| Temp $=50 \mathrm{~F} /$ | 10 C | Flow Rate (gpm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nominal Diameter (in) | Actual Diameter (in) | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 5.0 |
| 0.250 | 0.315 | 1921 | 3842 | 7684 | 11526 | 15368 | 19211 | 23053 | 26895 | 30737 | 34579 | 38421 |
| 0.375 | 0.430 | 1407 | 2815 | 5629 | 8444 | 11258 | 14073 | 16887 | 19702 | 22517 | 25331 | 28146 |
| 0.500 | 0.545 | 1110 | 2221 | 4441 | 6662 | 8883 | 11103 | 13324 | 15545 | 17765 | 19986 | 22207 |
| 0.750 | 0.785 | 771 | 1542 | 3083 | 4625 | 6167 | 7709 | 9250 | 10792 | 12334 | 13876 | 15417 |
| 1.000 | 1.025 | 590 | 1181 | 2361 | 3542 | 4723 | 5904 | 7084 | 8265 | 9446 | 10627 | 11807 |
| 1.250 | 1.265 | 478 | 957 | 1913 | 2870 | 3827 | 4784 | 5740 | 6697 | 7654 | 8611 | 9567 |
| Temp $=70 \mathrm{~F} / 21 \mathrm{C}$ |  | Flow (gpm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal <br> Diameter (in) | Actual Diameter (in) | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 5.0 |
| 0.250 | 0.315 | 2572 | 5144 | 10289 | 15433 | 20578 | 25722 | 30866 | 36011 | 41155 | 46300 | 51444 |
| 0.375 | 0.430 | 1884 | 3769 | 7537 | 11306 | 15074 | 18843 | 22611 | 26380 | 30149 | 33917 | 37686 |
| 0.500 | 0.545 | 1487 | 2973 | 5947 | 8920 | 11894 | 14867 | 17840 | 20814 | 23787 | 26760 | 29734 |
| 0.750 | 0.785 | 1032 | 2064 | 4129 | 6193 | 8257 | 10322 | 12386 | 14450 | 16515 | 18579 | 20643 |
| 1.000 | 1.025 | 790 | 1581 | 3162 | 4743 | 6324 | 7905 | 9486 | 11067 | 12648 | 14229 | 15810 |
| 1.250 | 1.265 | 641 | 1281 | 2562 | 3843 | 5124 | 6405 | 7686 | 8967 | 10248 | 11529 | 12810 |
| Temp $=120 \mathrm{~F} / 49 \mathrm{C}$ |  | Flow (gpm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal <br> Diameter (in) | Actual <br> Diameter (in) | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 5.0 |
| 0.250 | 0.315 | 4447 | 8894 | 17788 | 26682 | 35576 | 44471 | 53365 | 62259 | 71153 | 80047 | 88941 |
| 0.375 | 0.430 | 3258 | 6515 | 13031 | 19546 | 26062 | 32577 | 39093 | 45608 | 52124 | 58639 | 65155 |
| 0.500 | 0.545 | 2570 | 5141 | 10281 | 15422 | 20563 | 25703 | 30844 | 35984 | 41125 | 46266 | 51406 |
| 0.750 | 0.785 | 1784 | 3569 | 7138 | 10707 | 14276 | 17845 | 21414 | 24983 | 28552 | 32121 | 35690 |
| 1.000 | 1.025 | 1367 | 2733 | 5467 | 8200 | 10933 | 13667 | 16400 | 19133 | 21867 | 24600 | 27333 |
| 1.250 | 1.265 | 1107 | 2215 | 4429 | 6644 | 8859 | 11074 | 13288 | 15503 | 17718 | 19933 | 22147 |
| Temp $=140 \mathrm{~F} / 60 \mathrm{C}$ |  | Flow (gpm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal <br> Diameter (in) | Actual Diameter (in) | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 5.0 |
| 0.250 | 0.315 | 5295 | 10590 | 21181 | 31771 | 42361 | 52952 | 63542 | 74132 | 84722 | 95313 | 105903 |
| 0.375 | 0.430 | 3879 | 7758 | 15516 | 23274 | 31032 | 38790 | 46548 | 54306 | 62064 | 69822 | 77580 |
| 0.500 | 0.545 | 3061 | 6121 | 12242 | 18363 | 24484 | 30605 | 36726 | 42847 | 48968 | 55089 | 61210 |
| 0.750 | 0.785 | 2125 | 4250 | 8499 | 12749 | 16998 | 21248 | 25498 | 29747 | 33997 | 38247 | 42496 |
| 1.000 | 1.025 | 1627 | 3255 | 6509 | 9764 | 13018 | 16273 | 19527 | 22782 | 26037 | 29291 | 32546 |
| 1.250 | 1.265 | 1319 | 2637 | 5274 | 7911 | 10548 | 13186 | 15823 | 18460 | 21097 | 23734 | 26371 |

Reynolds Number and Flow Regime for Circular Pipes (water temperatures from 50 F to $140_{1} \mathrm{~F}$ )

## PEX

| Temp $=50 \mathrm{~F} / 10 \mathrm{C}$ |  | Flow (gpm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nominal Diameter (in) | Actual Diameter (in) | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 5.0 |
| 0.250 | 0.241 | 2421 | 4841 | 9682 | 14523 | 19364 | 24205 | 29046 | 33888 | 38729 | 43570 | 48411 |
| 0.375 | 0.350 | 1614 | 3227 | 6455 | 9682 | 12910 | 16137 | 19364 | 22592 | 25819 | 29046 | 32274 |
| 0.500 | 0.475 | 1210 | 2421 | 4841 | 7262 | 9682 | 12103 | 14523 | 16944 | 19364 | 21785 | 24205 |
| 0.750 | 0.671 | 807 | 1614 | 3227 | 4841 | 6455 | 8068 | 9682 | 11296 | 12910 | 14523 | 16137 |
| 1.000 | 0.862 | 605 | 1210 | 2421 | 3631 | 4841 | 6051 | 7262 | 8472 | 9682 | 10892 | 12103 |
| 1.250 | 1.054 | 484 | 968 | 1936 | 2905 | 3873 | 4841 | 5809 | 6778 | 7746 | 8714 | 9682 |
| Temp $=70 \mathrm{~F} / 21 \mathrm{C}$ |  | Flow (gpm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal Diameter (in) | Actual Diameter (in) | 0.250 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 5.0 |
| 0.250 | 0.241 | 3241 | 6482 | 12964 | 19446 | 25928 | 32410 | 38892 | 45374 | 51856 | 58338 | 64820 |
| 0.375 | 0.350 | 2161 | 4321 | 8643 | 12964 | 17285 | 21607 | 25928 | 30249 | 34570 | 38892 | 43213 |
| 0.500 | 0.475 | 1620 | 3241 | 6482 | 9723 | 12964 | 16205 | 19446 | 22687 | 25928 | 29169 | 32410 |
| 0.750 | 0.671 | 1080 | 2161 | 4321 | 6482 | 8643 | 10803 | 12964 | 15125 | 17285 | 19446 | 21607 |
| 1.000 | 0.862 | 810 | 1620 | 3241 | 4861 | 6482 | 8102 | 9723 | 11343 | 12964 | 14584 | 16205 |
| 1.250 | 1.054 | 648 | 1296 | 2593 | 3889 | 5186 | 6482 | 7778 | 9075 | 10371 | 11668 | 12964 |
| Temp $=120 \mathrm{~F} / 49 \mathrm{C}$ |  | Flow (gpm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Nominal } \\ \text { Diameter (in) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Actual Diameter (in) | 0.250 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 5.0 |
| 0.250 | 0.241 | 5603 | 11207 | 22413 | 33620 | 44826 | 56033 | 67240 | 78446 | 89653 | 100859 | 112066 |
| 0.375 | 0.350 | 3736 | 7471 | 14942 | 22413 | 29884 | 37355 | 44826 | 52297 | 59768 | 67240 | 74711 |
| 0.500 | 0.475 | 2802 | 5603 | 11207 | 16810 | 22413 | 28016 | 33620 | 39223 | 44826 | 50430 | 56033 |
| 0.750 | 0.671 | 1868 | 3736 | 7471 | 11207 | 14942 | 18678 | 22413 | 26149 | 29884 | 33620 | 37355 |
| 1.000 | 0.862 | 1401 | 2802 | 5603 | 8405 | 11207 | 14008 | 16810 | 19612 | 22413 | 25215 | 28016 |
| 1.250 | 1.054 | 1121 | 2241 | 4483 | 6724 | 8965 | 11207 | 13448 | 15689 | 17931 | 20172 | 22413 |
| Temp $=140 \mathrm{~F} / 60 \mathrm{C}$ |  | Flow (gpm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal Diameter (in) | Actual Diameter (in) | 0.250 | 0.500 | 1.000 | 1.500 | 2.000 | 2.500 | 3.000 | 3.500 | 4.000 | 4.500 | 5.000 |
| 0.250 | 0.241 | 6672 | 13344 | 26688 | 40031 | 53375 | 66719 | 80063 | 93407 | 106750 | 120094 | 133438 |
| 0.375 | 0.350 | 4448 | 8896 | 17792 | 26688 | 35583 | 44479 | 53375 | 62271 | 71167 | 80063 | 88959 |
| 0.500 | 0.475 | 3336 | 6672 | 13344 | 20016 | 26688 | 33359 | 40031 | 46703 | 53375 | 60047 | 66719 |
| 0.750 | 0.671 | 2224 | 4448 | 8896 | 13344 | 17792 | 22240 | 26688 | 31136 | 35583 | 40031 | 44479 |
| 1.000 | 0.862 | 1668 | 3336 | 6672 | 10008 | 13344 | 16680 | 20016 | 23352 | 26688 | 30024 | 33359 |
| 1.250 | 1.054 | 1334 | 2669 | 5338 | 8006 | 10675 | 13344 | 16013 | 18681 | 21350 | 24019 | 26688 |

Reynolds Number and Flow Regime for Circular Pipes (water temperatures from 50 F to $140_{1}$ F)

## CPVC

| Temp $=50 \mathrm{~F} / 10 \mathrm{C}$ |  | Flow (gpm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nominal Diameter (in) | Actual Diameter (in) | 0.250 | 0.500 | 1.000 | 1.500 | 2.000 | 2.500 | 3.000 | 3.500 | 4.000 | 4.500 | 5.000 |
| 0.250 | 0.245 | 2421 | 4841 | 9682 | 14523 | 19364 | 24205 | 29046 | 33888 | 38729 | 43570 | 48411 |
| 0.375 | 0.360 | 1614 | 3227 | 6455 | 9682 | 12910 | 16137 | 19364 | 22592 | 25819 | 29046 | 32274 |
| 0.500 | 0.485 | 1210 | 2421 | 4841 | 7262 | 9682 | 12103 | 14523 | 16944 | 19364 | 21785 | 24205 |
| 0.750 | 0.695 | 807 | 1614 | 3227 | 4841 | 6455 | 8068 | 9682 | 11296 | 12910 | 14523 | 16137 |
| 1.000 | 0.901 | 605 | 1210 | 2421 | 3631 | 4841 | 6051 | 7262 | 8472 | 9682 | 10892 | 12103 |
| 1.250 | 1.105 | 484 | 968 | 1936 | 2905 | 3873 | 4841 | 5809 | 6778 | 7746 | 8714 | 9682 |
| Temp $=70 \mathrm{~F} / 21 \mathrm{C}$ |  | Flow (gpm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal Diameter (in) | Actual Diameter (in) | 0.250 | 0.500 | 1.000 | 1.500 | 2.000 | 2.500 | 3.000 | 3.500 | 4.000 | 4.500 | 5.000 |
| 0.250 | 0.245 | 3241 | 6482 | 12964 | 19446 | 25928 | 32410 | 38892 | 45374 | 51856 | 58338 | 64820 |
| 0.375 | 0.360 | 2161 | 4321 | 8643 | 12964 | 17285 | 21607 | 25928 | 30249 | 34570 | 38892 | 43213 |
| 0.500 | 0.485 | 1620 | 3241 | 6482 | 9723 | 12964 | 16205 | 19446 | 22687 | 25928 | 29169 | 32410 |
| 0.750 | 0.695 | 1080 | 2161 | 4321 | 6482 | 8643 | 10803 | 12964 | 15125 | 17285 | 19446 | 21607 |
| 1.000 | 0.901 | 810 | 1620 | 3241 | 4861 | 6482 | 8102 | 9723 | 11343 | 12964 | 14584 | 16205 |
| 1.250 | 1.105 | 648 | 1296 | 2593 | 3889 | 5186 | 6482 | 7778 | 9075 | 10371 | 11668 | 12964 |
| Temp $=120 \mathrm{~F} / 49 \mathrm{C}$ |  | Flow (gpm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal Diameter (in) | Actual Diameter (in) | 0.250 | 0.500 | 1.000 | 1.500 | 2.000 | 2.500 | 3.000 | 3.500 | 4.000 | 4.500 | 5.000 |
| 0.250 | 0.245 | 5603 | 11207 | 22413 | 33620 | 44826 | 56033 | 67240 | 78446 | 89653 | 100859 | 112066 |
| 0.375 | 0.360 | 3736 | 7471 | 14942 | 22413 | 29884 | 37355 | 44826 | 52297 | 59768 | 67240 | 74711 |
| 0.500 | 0.485 | 2802 | 5603 | 11207 | 16810 | 22413 | 28016 | 33620 | 39223 | 44826 | 50430 | 56033 |
| 0.750 | 0.695 | 1868 | 3736 | 7471 | 11207 | 14942 | 18678 | 22413 | 26149 | 29884 | 33620 | 37355 |
| 1.000 | 0.901 | 1401 | 2802 | 5603 | 8405 | 11207 | 14008 | 16810 | 19612 | 22413 | 25215 | 28016 |
| 1.250 | 1.105 | 1121 | 2241 | 4483 | 6724 | 8965 | 11207 | 13448 | 15689 | 17931 | 20172 | 22413 |
| Temp $=140 \mathrm{~F} / 60 \mathrm{C}$ |  | Flow (gpm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal Diameter (in) | Actual Diameter (in) | 0.250 | 0.500 | 1.000 | 1.500 | 2.000 | 2.500 | 3.000 | 3.500 | 4.000 | 4.500 | 5.000 |
| 0.250 | 0.245 | 6672 | 13344 | 26688 | 40031 | 53375 | 66719 | 80063 | 93407 | 106750 | 120094 | 133438 |
| 0.375 | 0.360 | 4448 | 8896 | 17792 | 26688 | 35583 | 44479 | 53375 | 62271 | 71167 | 80063 | 88959 |
| 0.500 | 0.485 | 3336 | 6672 | 13344 | 20016 | 26688 | 33359 | 40031 | 46703 | 53375 | 60047 | 66719 |
| 0.750 | 0.695 | 2224 | 4448 | 8896 | 13344 | 17792 | 22240 | 26688 | 31136 | 35583 | 40031 | 44479 |
| 1.000 | 0.901 | 1668 | 3336 | 6672 | 10008 | 13344 | 16680 | 20016 | 23352 | 26688 | 30024 | 33359 |
| 1.250 | 1.105 | 1334 | 2669 | 5338 | 8006 | 10675 | 13344 | 16013 | 18681 | 21350 | 24019 | 26688 |

Reynolds Number and Flow Regime for Circular Pipes (water temperatures from 50 F to $140_{1} F_{\text {It }}$

## Questions?

## Thank you!


[^0]:    ——PEX Poly Pushto Connect Inner Seal Elbow x6

